UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,508	11/24/2003	Myrtle Lee Mims	MIMS-3698	4598
57544 75 GFD PATENTS	590 04/26/200 . LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 752			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
CLIFTON PARI	K, NY 12065		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/720,508	MIMS, MYRTLE LEE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. Mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				
	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/720,508

Art Unit: 1761

1. Claims 1-11 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 25, 2006.

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12-22 are indefinite in reciting "a mouthfeel test" (claim 12, line 3) since this is not an art recognized test for determining texture of a food product. The terms used in the test, from "like extremely" to "dislike extremely" are all relative terms without clear meaning, as is "smooth texture" (claim 12, line 2), and are based upon opinions that likely vary from one consumer to another. Applicant is invited to submit factual evidence to show how the claimed mouthfeel test is related to food texture. How would an alleged infringer know if he or she is infringing applicant's claims? Claim 21 is indefinite since it is not understood how appearance is related to texture. This can be corrected by changing "an appearance" (lines 1-2) to "a mouthfeel" and canceling "and...composition" (lines 3-5). Also, in claim 21, "based...like extremely" (lines 2-3) is redundant and should be cancelled since this language is present in claim 12. Corrections are required without new matter.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/720,508 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the articles listed in lines 2-4 of paragraph no. 5, Paper No. 010307, as set forth in said paragraph.
- 6. Claims 12-15 and 17-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over No-Crust Sweet Potato Pie as set forth in paragraph no. 6, Paper No. 010307.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed April 9, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since applicant's claimed mouthfeel is based upon relative terms without clear meaning, the pies disclosed in each primary reference can all be said to have a mouthfeel within applicant's claimed range. Applicant's contention that an unexpected function is achieved by applicant's invention is a mere conclusion and is not supported by any factual evidence of record. Aluminum, plastic and Styrofoam packages (claim 19) are conventional and would thus be obvious to use to package any type of pie.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/720,508

Art Unit: 1761

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthurt Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

4-25-07